Ash Olney s3686808 and Phil Steinke s3725547

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **Description** | | | | | | | | | | | **Points 19/20** |
| **Source and Description** | *Excellent* | | *Good* | | | *Average* | | *Poor* | | | | 3/3 |
| Clear source reference and description. | |  | | |  | |  | | | |
| **Goals and Objectives** | *Excellent* | | *Good* | | | *Average* | | *Poor* | | | | 2/3 |
|  | |  | | | Is your target feature a numeric or multiclass variable? Is it a classification or regression problem? | |  | | | |
| **Data Pre-processing** | *Outstanding* | | *Excellent* | *Good* | | *Average* | | | | *Below Average* | *Poor* | 5/5 |
| Data wrangling was careful except using Isolation forest to detect outliers. You might want to use a different random seed to check if you will get similar outlier detection results. | |  |  | |  | | | |  |  |
| **Data Analysis** | *Outstanding* | | *Excellent* | *Good* | | *Average* | | | | *Below Average* | *Poor* | 5/5 |
| Overall data analysis was methodological sound. | |  |  | |  | | | |  |  |
| **Overall Quality** | *Outstanding* | *Excellent* | | | *Good* | | *Average* | | *Poor* | | | 4/4 |
| No major issue despite formatting issues. It would be more professionally if you include some explanations in markdown, instead of comments within codes. |  | | |  | |  | |  | | |